

A Necessary Condition for the Existence of $\mathcal{SW}_\alpha$ -Monopoles

Celso M. Doria
UFSC - Depto. de Matemática

February 7, 2008

Abstract

Originally, as described in [12], the $\mathcal{SW}_\alpha$ -equations discovered by Seiberg and Witten are 1st-order partial differential equations, which solutions (A, ϕ) , with $\phi \neq 0$, are known as $\mathcal{SW}_\alpha$ -monopoles. It is known that the solutions of these 1st-order $\mathcal{SW}_\alpha$ -equations correspond to the minimum of the functional $\mathcal{SW}_\alpha : \mathcal{C}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. However, it is not true that the minimum of $\mathcal{SW}_\alpha : \mathcal{C}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is always attained by this sort of solution. In fact, there are only a finite number of $\alpha \in \text{Spin}^c(X)$ such that the minimum is a $\mathcal{SW}_\alpha$ -monopole. We show that a necessary condition to $(A, \phi) \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ be a $\mathcal{SW}_\alpha$ -monopole is that

$$\frac{Q_X(\alpha, \alpha)}{v_X \cdot (k_{g,X}^-)^4} \in \left[-\frac{1}{\pi^2}, \frac{1}{4}\right],$$

where Q_X =intersection form of X , v_X =volume of (X, g) and $k_{g,X}^-$ is a constant depending on the scalar curvature of (X, g) .

1 Introduction

Let (X, g) be a closed Riemannian 4-manifold and $\alpha \in \text{Spin}^c(X)$ be a spin^c -structure fixed on X . The space of Spin^c -structures on X is

$$\text{Spin}^c(X) = \{\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \mid w_2(X) = \alpha \bmod 2\}.$$

For each $\alpha \in \text{Spin}^c(X)$, there is a vector space V and a representation $\rho_\alpha : SO_4 \rightarrow GL(V)$. Consequently, there are also a pair of vector bundles $(\mathcal{S}_\alpha^+, \mathcal{L}_\alpha)$ over X (see [8]), where $(P_{SO_4}$ =frame bundle of TX)

- $\mathcal{S}_\alpha = P_{SO_4} \times_{\rho_\alpha} V = \mathcal{S}_\alpha^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}_\alpha^-$.

The bundle $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^+$ is the positive complex spinors bundle (fibers are Spin_4^c -modules isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2$)

- $\mathcal{L}_\alpha = P_{SO_4} \times_{\det(\alpha)} \mathbb{C}$.

It is called the *determinant line bundle* associated to the Spin^c -structure α . ($c_1(\mathcal{L}_\alpha) = \alpha$)

Thus, given $\alpha \in Spin^c(X)$ we associate a pair of bundles

$$\alpha \in Spin^c(X) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{S}_\alpha^+)$$

Let P_α be the frame bundle of $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$. So, $c_1(P_\alpha) = \alpha$.

Now, consider the spaces

- $\mathcal{A}_\alpha = L^{1,2}(\Omega^0(ad(u_1)))$
- $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\alpha^+) = L^{1,2}(\Omega^0(X, \mathcal{S}_\alpha^+))$
- $\mathcal{C}_\alpha = \mathcal{A}_\alpha \times \Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\alpha^+)$
- $\mathcal{G}_\alpha = L^{2,2}(X, U_1) = L^{2,2}(Map(X, U_1))$

In dimension 4, the vector bundle $\Omega^2(ad(u_1))$ admits a decomposition

$$\Omega_+^2(ad(u_1)) \oplus \Omega_-^2(ad(u_1)) \quad (1)$$

in self-dual (+) and anti-self-dual (-) parts ([2]).

The 1st-order (original) *Seiberg-Witten* equations are defined over the configuration space $\mathcal{C}_\alpha = \mathcal{A}_\alpha \times \Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\alpha^+)$ as

$$\begin{cases} D_A^+(\phi) = 0, \\ F_A^+ = \sigma(\phi) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where

- D_A^+ is the *Spin*^c-Dirac operator defined on $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\alpha^+)$;
- $\sigma : \Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\alpha^+) \rightarrow End^0(\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\alpha^+)^+)$ ($End^0(V)$ =traceless endomorphism of V) is the quadratic form

$$\sigma(\phi) = \phi \otimes \phi^* - \frac{|\phi|^2}{2} \cdot I \quad (3)$$

performing the coupling of the *ASD*-equation with the *Dirac*^c operator. Locally, if $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$, then the quadratic form $\sigma(\phi)$ is written as

$$\sigma(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{|\phi_1|^2 - |\phi_2|^2}{2} & \phi_1 \cdot \bar{\phi}_2 \\ \phi_2 \cdot \bar{\phi}_1 & \frac{|\phi_2|^2 - |\phi_1|^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

2 A Variational Principle for the Seiberg-Witten Equation

Consider the functional

$$SW(A, \phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_X \{ |F_A^+ - \sigma(\phi)|^2 + |D_A^+(\phi)|^2 \} dv_g \quad (4)$$

The next identities, which proofs are standard, are applied to expand the functional (4)

Proposition 2.0.1. *For each $\alpha \in Spin^c(X)$, let $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ be the determinant line bundle associated to α and $(A, \phi) \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$. Also, assume that k_g = scalar curvature of (X, g) . Then,*

$$1. \langle F_A^+, \sigma(\phi) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle F_A^+, \phi, \phi \rangle$$

$$2. \langle \sigma(\phi), \sigma(\phi) \rangle = \frac{1}{4} |\phi|^4$$

3. Weitzenböck formula

$$D^2\phi = \nabla^* \nabla \phi + \frac{k_g}{4} \phi + \frac{F_A}{2} \cdot \phi$$

$$4. \sigma(\phi)\phi = \frac{|\phi|^2}{2} \phi$$

$$5. c_2(\mathcal{L}_\alpha \oplus \mathcal{L}_\alpha) = \int_X F_A \wedge F_A$$

$$6. |F_A^+|^2 = \frac{1}{2} |F_A|^2 - 4\pi^2 \alpha^2$$

Consequently, after expanding the functional (4), we get the expression

$$SW(A, \phi) = \int_X \left\{ \frac{1}{4} |F_A|^2 + |\nabla^A \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{8} |\phi|^4 + \frac{1}{4} \langle k_g \phi, \phi \rangle \right\} dv_g - 2\pi^2 \alpha^2 \quad (5)$$

Definition 2.0.2. *For each $\alpha \in Spin^c(X)$, the Seiberg-Witten Functional is the functional $\mathcal{SW}_\alpha : \mathcal{C}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by*

$$\mathcal{SW}_\alpha(A, \phi) = \int_X \left\{ \frac{1}{4} |F_A|^2 + |\nabla^A \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{8} |\phi|^4 + \frac{1}{4} \langle k_g \phi, \phi \rangle \right\} dv_g \quad (6)$$

where k_g = scalar curvature of (X, g) .

Let $k_{g,X}^m = \min_{x \in X} k_g(x)$ and

$$k_{g,X}^- = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k_g(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in X; \\ (-k_{g,X}^m)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Remark 2.0.3. 1. Since X is compact and $\|\phi\|_{L^4} < \|\phi\|_{L^{1,2}}$, the functional is well defined on $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$,

2. The SW_α -functional (6) is Gauge invariant.

Proposition 2.0.4. The Euler-Lagrange equations of the SW_α -functional (6) are

$$\Delta_A \phi + \frac{|\phi|^2}{4} \phi + \frac{k_g}{4} \phi = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$d^* F_A + 4\Phi^*(\nabla^A \phi) = 0 \quad (9)$$

where $\Phi : \Omega^1(\mathfrak{u}_1) \rightarrow \Omega^1(\mathcal{S}_\alpha^+)$

Remark 2.0.5. 1. From (4) and (5), it follows that

$$SW_\alpha(A, \phi) - 2\pi^2 \alpha^2 \geq 0.$$

The equality above happens iff (A, ϕ) is a solution to the 1st-order SW_α -equations. Thus, the SW_α -functional is bounded below by $2\pi^2 \alpha^2$, where

$$\alpha^2 = Q_X(\alpha, \alpha)$$

$(Q_X : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \times H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$ is the intersection form of X .

2. It is known from ([7]) that whenever (A, ϕ) is a solution of (8) and (9), then

$$\|\phi\|_\infty \leq k_{g,X}^- \quad (10)$$

It follows from Holder's Inequality that

$$\int |\phi|^2 \leq \left[\int dv_X \right]^{1/2} \cdot \left[\int |\phi|^4 \right]^{1/2} \Rightarrow \left[\int |\phi|^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq v_X^{1/4} \cdot \left[\int |\phi|^4 \right]^{1/4},$$

$$\|\phi\|_{L^2} \leq v_X^{1/4} \|\phi\|_{L^4} \quad (11)$$

where v_X is the volume of X .

The next proposition was originally proved in [12].

Proposition 2.0.6. *Let $\alpha \in \text{Spin}^c(X)$. Let (A, ϕ) be a $\mathcal{SW}_\alpha$ -monopole, so*

$$\alpha^2 \leq \frac{v_X \cdot (k_{g,X}^-)^4}{4} \quad (12)$$

Proof. It follows from the Weitzenböck formula that

$$D_A \phi = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\nabla^A)^* \nabla^A \phi + \frac{1}{2} F_A^+(\phi) + \frac{1}{4} k_g \phi = 0$$

By taking the inner product with ϕ and integrating, we get

$$\int_X \left(|\nabla^A \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\phi|^4 + \frac{1}{4} k_g |\phi|^2 \right) dx = 0$$

Consequently, applying (14), we get

$$\int_X \left(|\nabla^A \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\phi|^4 \right) dx \leq \frac{1}{4} (-k_{g,X}^m) \int_X |\phi|^2 dx \leq \frac{(k_{g,X}^-)^4 \cdot v_X}{4}.$$

Since $\phi \neq 0$, we can apply the last inequality to estimate $\|F_A^+\|_{L^2}$;

$$\|F_A^+\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\sigma(\phi)\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \|\phi\|_{L^4}^4 \leq \frac{(k_{g,X}^-)^4 \cdot v_X}{4}$$

Once $\alpha^2 = \|F_A^+\|_{L^2}^2 - \|F_A^-\|_{L^2}^2$, it follows that

$$\alpha^2 \leq \frac{(k_{g,X}^-)^4 \cdot v_X}{4}.$$

□

Proposition 2.0.7. *Let $\alpha \in \text{Spin}^c(X)$ and (A, ϕ) be a $\mathcal{SW}_\alpha$ -monopole. So,*

$$\alpha^2 \geq -\frac{v_X \cdot (k_{g,X}^-)^4}{\pi^2}. \quad (13)$$

Proof.

$$\mathcal{SW}_\alpha(A, \phi) - \int_X k_g |\phi|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \|F_A\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^A \phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \|\phi\|_{L^4}^4$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{SW}_\alpha(A, \phi) + (-k_{g,X}^m) \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \|F_A\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^A \phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \|\phi\|_{L^4}^4,$$

in particular,

$$\|\phi\|_{L^4}^4 \leq 8\mathcal{SW}_\alpha(A, \phi) + 8(k_{g,X}^-)^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2$$

Applying the inequality (11), we get that

$$\|\phi\|_{L^2}^4 \leq 8v_X \mathcal{SW}_\alpha(A, \phi) + 8v_X \cdot (k_{g,X}^-)^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2.$$

So,

$$\|\phi\|_{L^2}^4 - 8v_X \cdot (k_{g,X}^-)^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 - 8v_X \mathcal{SW}_\alpha(A, \phi) \leq 0. \quad (14)$$

Consider the quadratic function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$f(x) = x^2 - 8v_X \cdot (k_{g,X}^-)^2 x - 8v_X \mathcal{SW}_\alpha(A, \phi)$$

If the inequality $f(x) \leq 0$ is not satisfied by any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\phi = 0$. The discriminant of f is

$$\Delta = 32v_X \left(2v_X \cdot (k_{g,X}^-)^4 + \mathcal{SW}_\alpha(A, \phi) \right)$$

The inequality (14) will be satisfied if and only if $\Delta \geq 0$, since $f''(x) > 0$ and $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) = -\frac{\Delta}{4}$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{SW}_\alpha(A, \phi) \geq -2v_X \cdot (k_{g,X}^-)^4$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 4v_X \cdot (k_{g,X}^-)^2 - 2\sqrt{4v_X \left[2v_X (k_{g,X}^-)^4 + \mathcal{SW}_\alpha(A, \phi) \right]} &\leq \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \\ &\leq 4v_X \cdot (k_{g,X}^-)^2 + 2\sqrt{4v_X \left[2v_X \cdot (k_{g,X}^-)^4 + \mathcal{SW}_\alpha(A, \phi) \right]} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{SW}_\alpha(A, \phi) \geq 2\pi^2 \alpha^2$, the lower upper bound of the $\mathcal{SW}_\alpha$ -functional is

$$\mathcal{SW}_\alpha(A, \phi) \geq \max\{2\pi^2 \alpha^2, -2v_X \cdot (k_{g,X}^-)^4\}$$

In this way, if (A, ϕ) ($\phi \neq 0$) satisfies the 1st-order $\mathcal{SW}_\alpha$ -equation then

$$\alpha^2 \geq -\frac{v_X \cdot (k_{g,X}^-)^4}{\pi^2}$$

□

The inequalities obtained impose necessary concitions in order to (A, ϕ) be a $\mathcal{SW}_\alpha$ -monopolo. Basically, it is necessary that

$$-\frac{v_X \cdot (k_{g,X}^-)^4}{\pi^2} \leq \alpha^2 \leq \frac{(k_{g,X}^-)^2 \cdot v_X}{4} \quad (15)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{v_X \cdot (k_{g,X}^-)^4} \in [-\frac{1}{\pi^2}, \frac{1}{4}]$$

References

- [1] DONALDSON, S.K.: *The Seiberg-Witten Equations and 4-Manifold Topology*, Bull.Am.Math.Soc., New Ser. **33**, n°1 (1996), 45-70.
- [2] DONALDSON, S.K.; KRONHEIMER, P.: *The Geometry of 4-Manifold*, Oxford University Press, 1991.
- [3] DORIA, C.M.: *On the Existence of Critical Points to the Seiberg-Witten Functional*, preprint 2001 mtm/ufsc.
- [4] EELLS, J.; LEMAIRE, L.: *Selected Topics in Harmonic Maps*, CBMS n°50, AMS, 1980.
- [5] FINTUSHEL, R.; STERN, R.: *Knots, Links and Four Manifolds*, Inventiones Mathematicae **134**, n°2 (1998), 363-400.
- [6] FREED, D.; UHLENBECK, K.: *Instantons and Four Manifolds*, MSRI Publications, Vol 1, Springer-Verlag, 1984
- [7] JOST, J.; PENG, X.; WANG, G.: *Variational Aspects of the Seiberg-Witten Functional*, Calculus of Variation **4** (1996) , 205-218.
- [8] LAWSON, H.B.; MICHELSON, M.L.: *Spin Geometry*, Princeton University Press, 1989.
- [9] MORGAN, J.: *The Seiberg-Witten Equations and Applications to the Topology of Smooth Four-Manifolds*, Math. Notes **44**, Princeton Press.
- [10] PALAIS, R.S.: *Foundations of Global Non-Linear Analysis*, Benjamin, inc, 1968.
- [11] TAUBES, C.: *The Seiberg-Witten invariants and Symplectic Forms*, Math.Res.Lett. **1**, n°6 (1994), 809-822.
- [12] WITTEN, E.: *Monopoles on Four Manifolds*, Math.Res.Lett. **1**, n°6 (1994), 769-796.

*Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina
Campus Universitario , Trindade
Florianopolis - SC , Brasil
CEP: 88.040-900*